	FIRST - TIER MEETING SEPTEMBER 24, 2015	СОМР	ETITIVE	SELECTION	ои сом	MITTEE									
	REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES ISD PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03  TABULATION SHEET	JULIE BALOGH (RER)	WILBUR MAYORGA (RER)	LEE CASEY (PWWM)	FRANKLYN JARMAN (WASD)	JANE DOZIER (PROS)	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL ADJ. & QUAL. POINTS	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Ranking	FINAL RANK
	NAME OF FIRM(S)														
1	AMEC FOSTER WHEELER ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	43 16 14 3 5	42 15 16 3 4	47 16 18 3 5	30 15 16 4 5	47 18 15 2 5	209 80 79 15 24	42 16 16 3 5	28 11 11 2 3	56 21 21 4 6				427	
	<b></b>	81	80	89	70	87					407			387	
	Ordinal Scores	6	5	5	3	3									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	6			3							13	5		5
	Dropped Qualitative Scores			89	70						248				
2	HANDEX CONSULTING AND REMEDIATION SOUTHEAST, LLC														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	39 14 14 3 4 74	40 13 15 5 3 76	46 16 18 5 2 87	20 10 16 5 5	42 15 17 5 4 83	187 68 80 23 18	37 14 16 5 4	25 9 11 3 2	50 18 21 6 5	376			395 357	
	Ordinal Scores	9	Ö	1	8	5						00	0		
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	9				5						23	8		8
	Dropped Qualitative Scores			87	56						233				

FIRST - TIER MEETING	<del></del>													
SEPTEMBER 24, 2015	СОМР	PETITIVE	SELECTI	ON COM	MITTEE									
REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES ISD PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03  TABULATION SHEET	JULIE BALOGH (RER)	WILBUR MAYORGA (RER)	LEE CASEY (PWWM)	FRANKLYN JARMAN (WASD)	JANE DOZIER (PROS)	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL ADJ. & QUAL. POINTS	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Ranking	FINAL RANK
3 STEARNS, CONRAD & SCHMIDT CONSULTING (SCS ENGINEERS)														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	44 17 17 4 4	45 17 17 4 2	49 20 19 4 5	26 14 18 3 5	38 16 17 3 5	202 84 88 18 21	40 17 18 4 4	27 11 12 2 3	54 22 23 5 6	413			434 392	
Ordinal Scores	1	2	1	5	8					410			392	
Dropped Ordinal Scores			1		8						8	2		2
Dropped Qualitative Scores			97	66						250				
4 LANGAN ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	42 16 16 4 3	42 15 16 2 5	44 16 16 2 3	24 9 15 4 4	39 15 15 2 2 5	191 71 78 14 20	38 14 16 3 4	26 10 10 2 3	51 19 21 4 5	374			393 355	
Ordinal Scores	7	6	9	8	9									
Dropped Ordinal Scores		6	9								24	9		9
Dropped Qualitative Scores			81	<del>56</del>						237				

FIRST - TIER MEETING SEPTEMBER 24, 2015	СОМР	ETITIVE S	SELECTION	ON COM	MITTEE									
REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES ISD PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03  TABULATION SHEET	JULIE BALOGH (RER)	WILBUR MAYORGA (RER)	LEE CASEY (PWWM)	FRANKLYN JARMAN (WASD)	JANE DOZIER (PROS)	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL ADJ. & QUAL. POINTS	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Ranking	FINAL RANK
5 H.J. ROSS ASSOCIATES, INC.														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)  Ordinal Scores  Dropped Ordinal Scores	44 17 16 3 4 84 3	46 17 16 2 4 85 2	46 18 17 2 5 88 6	30 15 16 2 5 68 4	44 17 13 2 5 81 <b>6</b>	210 84 78 11 23	42 17 16 2 5	28 11 10 1 3	56 22 21 3 6	406	13	4	426 386	4
Dropped Qualitative Scores			88	68						250				
6 ARCADIS US, INC.														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)  2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)  3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)  4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)  5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	44 17 16 3 4	48 18 18 4 5 93	47 18 19 5 5 94	35 16 15 3 5	45 17 18 5 4	219 86 86 20 23	44 17 17 4 5	29 12 12 3 3	58 23 23 5 6	434		! <u></u>	456 412	
Ordinal Scores	2	1	3	1	1									
Dropped Ordinal Scores		1	3								4	1		1
Dropped Qualitative Scores			94	74						266				

						1								
FIRST - TIER MEETING SEPTEMBER 24, 2015	СОМР	PETITIVE	SELECTI	ON COM	MITTEE									
REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES ISD PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03  TABULATION SHEET	JULIE BALOGH (RER)	WILBUR MAYORGA (RER)	LEE CASEY (PWWM)	FRANKLYN JARMAN (WASD)	JANE DOZIER (PROS)	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL ADJ. & QUAL. POINTS	TOTAL ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Ranking	FINAL RANK
7 CHEROKEE ENTERPRISES, INC.														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	43 16 16 3 5	42 16 16 2 5	45 18 17 2 5	25 13 14 3 5	48 18 15 2 5	203 81 78 12 25	41 16 16 2 5	27 11 10 2 3	54 22 21 3 7				419	
Ordinal Scores	83 4	81 <b>4</b>	87 8	60 7	88 2					399			379	
Dropped Ordinal Scores	7	-	8	,	2						15	6		6
Dropped Qualitative Scores				60	88					251				
8 CB&I ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) 5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	40 15 15 5 4	38 12 17 4 2 73	47 19 18 4 5 98	25 14 12 4 5	43 16 15 3 4	193 76 77 20 20	39 15 15 4 4	26 10 10 3 3	51 20 20 5 5	386			405 367	
Ordinal Scores	8	9	4	6	7								-	
Dropped Ordinal Scores		9	4								21	7		7
Dropped Qualitative Scores			93	60						233				

FIRST - TIER MEETING SEPTEMBER 24, 2015	СОМЕ	PETITIVE	SELECTI	ON COM	MITTEE									
REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES										ITS	S		σ.	
ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES		€		Ű.						POINTS	SCORES	(5	cinç	
ISD PROJECT NO. E14-RER-03	ER)	(RER)	e e	Α¥	တ်			_	>		ဝ	Ž	anł	~
TABULATION SHEET	JULIE BALOGH (RE	WILBUR MAYORGA (F	LEE CASEY (PWWM)	FRANKLYN JARMAN (WASD)	JANE DOZIER (PROS)	SUB-TOTAL	Average	Low Disparity	High Disparity	TOTAL ADJ. & QUAL	TOTAL ORDINAL S	ORDINAL RANKING	Local Preference Ranking	FINAL RANK
9 AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.														
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	44	42	50	36	47	219	44	29	58					
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	16	15	20	16	18	85	17	11	23					
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	16	17	19	15	15	82	16	11	22					
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	1	1					
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4	2	5	5	5	21	4	3	6	440			433	
	81	77	95	73	86					412			391	
Ordinal Scores	5	/	2	2	4									
Dropped Ordinal Scores		7	2								11	3		3
Dropped Qualitative Scores			95	73						244				